In-class problems

The following exercises are about the system λP . In the first three exercises, let $\Gamma = \{A : *, P : A \to *\}.$

1. Find a term ? such that

$$\Gamma \vdash ?: \Pi a : A \cdot Pa \to Pa$$

2. Let $\Phi = \lambda f : A \rightarrow A$. $\Pi a : A \cdot Pa \rightarrow P(fa)$. Find a type ? such that

 $\Gamma \vdash \Phi : ?$

3. Give a term M and a complete derivation tree of

$$\Gamma \vdash M : \Phi(\lambda a : A . a)$$

- 4. Let $\neg A = A \rightarrow o$. Prove that any antisymmetric relation is irreflexive: $A:*, R:A \rightarrow A \rightarrow *, asym: \Pi x: A.\Pi y: A.Rxy \rightarrow \neg Ryx \vdash ?: \Pi x: A.\neg Pxx$
- 5. Given the following λP context $\Gamma :=$

nat : *, 0 : nat, 1 : nat, plus : nat \rightarrow nat \rightarrow nat, vec : nat \rightarrow *, nil : vec 0, cons : Πn : nat. nat \rightarrow vec $n \rightarrow$ vec (plus n 1)

In this vec represents vectors of natural numbers of a given length, nil is the empty vector, and cons adds one element to the front of a vector.

- (a) Give the term in this context that represents the vector $\langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle$.
- (b) Give types for the function **append** that concatenates two vectors, and for the function **reverse** that reverses a vector.
- (c) In the context

 Γ , n: nat, m: nat, l: vec n, k: vec m

give two terms that represent the same vector, the reverse of the concatenation of l and k, one by first appending and then reversing, and one by first reversing and then concatenating. What are the types of those two terms? Are they the same?

Take-home problems

1. Give a proof in minimal predicate logic of

$$\begin{array}{l} (\forall x \, y \, z. \, r(x, y) \rightarrow r(x, z) \rightarrow r(y, z)) \rightarrow (\forall x. \, r(x, x)) \rightarrow \\ (\forall x \, y. \, r(x, y) \rightarrow r(y, x)) \end{array}$$

give its proof term, and give the type judgment of the proof term.

2. The context

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{prop}: *, \\ \mathsf{imp}: \mathsf{prop} \to \mathsf{prop}, \\ \mathsf{proof}: \mathsf{prop} \to \mathsf{proof}, \\ \mathsf{imp_i}: \forall A: \mathsf{prop}. \forall B: \mathsf{prop}. (\mathsf{proof} \ A \to \mathsf{proof} \ B) \to \mathsf{proof} \ (\mathsf{imp} \ A \ B), \\ \mathsf{imp_e}: \forall A: \mathsf{prop}. \forall B: \mathsf{prop}. \mathsf{proof} \ (\mathsf{imp} \ A \ B) \to \mathsf{proof} \ A \to \mathsf{proof} \ B \end{array}$

gives another way to encode logic following the Curry-Howard isomorphism. Here the logic does not need to fit the 'intrinsic' logic of λP but can be many different logics. This is called using λP as a *logical framework*.

- (a) In this context, give the term that corresponds to a proof of $a \rightarrow b \rightarrow a$.
- (b) Extend this context with the introduction and elimination rules of conjunction.
- (c) In this extended context, give the term that corresponds to a proof of $a \wedge b \rightarrow b \wedge a$.
- 3. Give a context that encodes untyped combinatory logic, including its theory of equality, as a logical framework.
- 4. Consider the following six types

 $\begin{aligned} \Pi x:a.\,b \quad \Pi x:a.\,p\,x \quad \Pi a:*.\,b \quad \Pi a:*.\,a \quad \Pi x:a.* \quad \Pi a:*.* \end{aligned} \\ \text{where } a:*,\,b:* \text{ and } p:a \rightarrow *. \end{aligned}$

- (a) Which of these types can also be written with \rightarrow notation, instead of using Π ? For the types that can be written that way, write them using \rightarrow notation.
- (b) In which systems of the λ -cube are each of these six types allowed?
- (c) What are the types of these six types in the systems of the λ -cube?